UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,845	01/17/2006	Tatsuo Hoshino	21419 US C038435/0185660	2036
Stephen M Hara	7590 03/26/200 <b>acz</b> .	EXAMINER		
Bryan Cave		CHOWDHURY, IQBAL HOSSAIN		
1290 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10104-3300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		1652		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/26/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/528,845	HOSHINO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	IQB/LETI: OHOVIBHOR	1002	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or application, applicant must timely file one of the followi application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of A for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 periods:</li> </ol>	on the same day as filing a Notice of ng replies: (1) an amendment, affidav ppeal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aban it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing of	late of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of th no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expi Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.	re later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lath and reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL	f extension and the corresponding amount he shortened statutory period for reply orig ater than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on 11 March 2008. A bedate of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismissa	al of the appeal.
·	. but wise to the data of filling a built		
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejectio (a)☐ They raise new issues that would require further (b)☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE b (c)☐ They are not deemed to place the application in	consideration and/or search (see NO elow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR		l'	TOL 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		mpliant Amendment (F	71 OL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 14 and 15. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.4-7 and 9-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3, 8, 12-13.		ll be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fili entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed t showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess</li> </ol>	o overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea sary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
10.  ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ation of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered See Continuation Sheet.	but does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (statement).	s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Rebecca E. Prouty/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 1652	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: amendment to claims 1 and 4 (part (b), (c) and (d)) now have changed the scope of claims 1 and 4, i.e. the recitation "a DNA sequence encoding a polypeptide having vitamin B6 phosphate phosphatase activity, wherein said polypeptide is at least 95% identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 10" or "a DNA sequence ------ and at least 95% identical to the DNA sequence of SEQ ID NO: 9 or which will hybridize to SEQ ID NO:9 under specific conditions". The changing sequence identity from 70% to 95% and inclusion of particular hybridization conditions, needs more analysis, evaluation of the search results and further consideration. Besides, recitation of "95%" raises 112 1<sup>st</sup> New Matter issues.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: all the previous rejections except 103 rejection are maintained in view of non-entry of the amendments. The 103 rejection is withdrawn in view of applicants arguments regarding foreign priority documents of the instant application. The Examiner acknowledges the foreign priority document and granted the effective filing date of 9/27/2002, which results disqualification of Jang et al. reference that is not a prior art.

Previous rejections of Claims 1, 4-7, and 9-11 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, enablement issues would not be overcome by the amendments to the claims because hybrizing washing steps are still broad in the context of "50oC" because washing at 50oC is not enough highly stringent condition, which would allow nucleic acid molecule having large number of modifications including fragments and varaints to remain bound to SEQ ID NO: 9. The Examiner acknowledges applicants lengthy arguments but the arguments are not correlated with what is written in the claims. Therefore, the rejections on written description and scope of enablement are maintained.